

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Screening of Coal.—An unconstitutional interference with the right of contract is held, In re Preston (Ohio), 52 L. R. A. 523, to be made by a statute making it unlawful to pass coal mined by an employee over any screen or other device which takes away any part of the value thereof, before it has been duly weighed and credited.

BASTARDY—EVIDENCE—EXHIBITION OF CHILD.—The exhibition to the jury, on a prosecution for bastardy, of a child nine months old for the purpose of showing its resemblance to the defendant, is held, in *State ex rel. Scott v. Harvey* (Iowa), 52 L. R. A. 500, to be error. With this case there is a note reviewing the authorities on the question of resemblance as evidence of relationship.

ATTORNEY AT LAW—NOT LABORER OR EMPLOYEE.—A lawyer employed by a railroad company on a yearly salary payable monthly is held, in *Latta* v. *Lonsdale* (C. C. App. 8th C.), 52 L. R. A. 479, not to be a laborer or employee within the meaning of those terms in a statute giving a preference to the payment of wages or salaries of such persons out of the assets of insolvent corporations.

WINDING UP BUILDING FUND ASSOCIATIONS.—Counsel interested in Mr. Page's discussion of this subject in our last number, may consult with profit the following recent cases: Alexander v. Southern Home B. & L. Ass'n, 110 Fed. 267; Coltrane v. Baltimore B. & L. Ass'n, Re Caulk, 110 Fed. 272; Coltrane v. Baltimore B. & L. Ass'n, Re Twining, 110 Fed. 281; and Coltrane v. Baltimore B. & L. Ass'n, Re Blake, 110 Fed. 293.

STREET RAILWAYS—EJECTION OF PASSENGER FROM CAR.—Recovery for injuries received by a passenger in resisting forcible ejection from a street car for refusing to pay fare or leave the car is denied in Kiley v. Chicago City R. Co. (Ill.), 52 L. R. A. 626, although he tenders a transfer from another line, which should be valid, but is not, because of a mistake of the conductor from whom it was received, where no more force is used than is reasonably necessary to effect the expulsion.

STREET RAILWAYS—NEGLIGENCE.—The right of a passenger on the running board of a street car to recover for injuries caused by coming in contact with a pillar near the track in attempting to pass around the conductor, who was also on the board, in obedience to the conductor's direction to come forward and get a seat, is denied, in *Third Ave. R. Co.* v. *Barton* (C. C. A. 2d C.), 52 L. R. A. 471, unless under all the circumstances he acted as a man of ordinary prudence should have done.

CORPORATIONS—SLANDER.—Slander of a person who is a majority stockholder and officer of a corporation, when not spoken with respect to the business of the company, is held in *Brayton* v. Cleveland Special Police Co. (Ohio), 52 L. R. A. 525, to give the corporation no right of action either for the slander or for the injury to its business which resulted from the loss of public confidence in such person. A note to this case reviews the authorities as to actions for libel or slander of a corporation.